

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/792,038		03/03/2004	Melissa K. Rath	ATMI-668	4823
24239	7590	03/03/2006		EXAMINER	
MOORE P.O. BOX		N ALLEN PLLC		LE, HO	A VAN
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	Ü	•		1752	

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•	
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
·	10/792,038	RATH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hoa V. Le	1752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addres	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) M atute, cause the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed HONTHS from the mailing date of this community ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) T 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal m	•	rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-59 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-59 are subject to restrict	drawn from consideration.	ment.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupt The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected the drawing(s) be held in abey rection is required if the drawing.	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	` ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the priority document of t	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National Stag	je
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152))

Application/Control Number: 10/792,038

Art Unit: 1752

This is in response to papers field on 24 January 2006.

- I. The record shows that applicant elects the invention of Group I, claims 1-23 drawn compositions. The non-elected invention of Group II, claims 24-52 has been withdrawn from consideration.
- II. Applicants further elects species of active cleaning combination of a quaternary base and at least one of an alkali metal and/or alkaline earth base species of claims 1-7 and 10. Accordingly, the non-elected species in claims 8-9 and 11-13 have been with drawn from consideration.
- III. The record shows that applicants filed Request for Continued Examination and a set of the amended claims on 24 January 2006. Accordingly, this Office action is made:

First: The elected a quaternary base and at least one of an alkali metal and/or alkaline earth base composition invention but not species as newly amended will be continued to be considered and examined as requested.

Second: The non-elected a strong base and an oxidant composition but not species as newly amended.

Third: The newly added method claims 58-59.

In view of the amendment filed on 24 January 2006, additional restriction is made for the record as followed:

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- A. Claims 1-2, 4-7, 10 and 53-57 drawn to a cleaning, removing and/or tripping composition containing a quaternary base and at least one of an alkali metal and/or alkaline earth base as the main chemical ingredients and possibly one or more additives, classified in photographic class 430, subclass 256 and 331 and composition class 510, subclasses 175, 259, 391 and 504.
- B. Improper claims 8-9, 11-23 are improper as amended since it still depended on claim 1 (Claim 8 should be independent. Other should be depended on independent claim 8), drawn to a cleaning, removing and/or tripping composition containing a strong base and an oxidant as the main chemical ingredients and possibly one or more additives,

Art Unit: 1752

classified in photographic class 430, subclass 256 and 331 and composition class 510, subclasses 175, 367 and 372.

C. Claims 58-59, drawn to a cleaning, removing and/or stripping method, classified in class 134, subclass 2.

Inventions of Group A and B are all related to materials or compositions but are patentably distinct by their main chemical ingredients with respect the distinct chemical structures and their function properties in the distinct fields of searches. There is no evidence on and for the record that they are not patentably distinct and are obvious and stand or fall together. Applicants should show or provide a convincing evidence for the record. In the absence of convincing evidence on and for the record, the restriction on the record would not be removed.

Inventions of Groups (A and B) and Group C are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP

Page 5

§ 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a material different process such table top cleaning, machine dishwashing, drain cleaning, metal oxidizing. Applicants should show or provide a convincing evidence to the contrary. In the absence of such evidence, the restriction on the record would not be removed.

There is no evidence on the record that they are not patentably distinct. Therefore, no separate consideration or search is required. They are stood or fall together. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and can support separate patent as divided by applicants, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

IV. Applicant is advised that the invention of Group C, claims 1-2, 4-7, 10 and 53-57 will be continued to be reconsidered and researched but as a new after applicants filed Request for Continued Examination on 24 January 2006 as required, especially with one or more amended and/or added embodiments and/or claim(s) to the set of the claims. However, applicants may change their mind to elect and request to consider, search and examine one of other inventions. Then an Art Unit: 1752

additional election of species and/or restriction may be additionally made in accordance to the new election and request.

- V. This Office action is mainly and early made for the record of the restriction of the compositions as amended. It is also given applicants' opportunity to respond the restriction for the record in an early state of a prosecution as a new after filed Request for Continued Examination on 24 January 2006 as required.
- VI. However, a method claim is permitted to be rejoined with an allowable (material (composition)) claim. If the method claim contains all of the limitations of the material claim when the material is firstly elected, considered, searched, examined and found to be allowable.
- VII. No other issue is considered until a proper and complete response to the restriction on the record is made and resolved.
- V. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoa V. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-1332.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,038 Page 7

Art Unit: 1752

The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 AM to 4:30 PM on

Monday though Thursday and about the same time of most Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 571-272-1526.

Applicants may file a paper by (1) fax with a central facsimile receiving

number 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be

obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status

information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR

or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see

http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

Hoa V. Le Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1752

HVL 28 February 2006

HOA VAN LE
PRIMARY EXAMINER